

- 6.4%** I have read an announcement in a newsletter;
- 44.0%** A friend/colleague told me about the conference;
- 19.3%** I have participated in your previous conferences and follow up your activities;
- 7.3%** Other: _____

8. How do you assess the announcement of the conference?

5.32 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

9. How do you assess the website of the conference?

5.39 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

10. Did the Conference come up to your expectations and answered your specific questions?

80% Yes **2%** No **18%** Partly

11. Do you find the invited speakers appropriate and adequate?

81% Yes **3%** No **16%** Partly

12. Do you find the workshop topics appropriate and adequate?

86% Yes **2%** No **12%** Partly

13. How do you assess the quality of presentations in Session 1 (Policy on rare diseases):


5.25 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

14. How do you assess the quality of presentations in Session 2 (Sharing best in different countries):

5.24 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

15. How do you assess the quality of presentations in Session 3 (Models of integrative approach in different rare diseases):

5.44 (6=excellent; 2=poor)





16. How do you assess the overall quality of the conference?

5.25 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

17. In your opinion, did the conference achieve its objectives?

87% Yes **4%** No **9%** Partly

18. Do you have comments and suggestions for improving future conferences?

74% No **26%** Yes: _____

19. What is your overall level of satisfaction from the conference?

5.37 (6=excellent; 2=poor)

20. Would you participate in similar conferences in the future?

92.5% Yes **4.7%** No **2.8%** I have not decided yet

